

Legal Issues and Risks in Procurement

Presented by Scott Alden, Partner and Victoria Gordon, Senior Associate

Tuesday, 28 September 2021



The 2021 Procurement Seminar Series

- Planning a perfect procurement 17 July 2021
- Probity in Procurement 17 August 2021
- Current Issues and Updates in Procurement 7 September 2021
- Legal Issues and Risks in Procurement 28 September 2021
- Tender Assessment 19 October 2021
- Innovations in procurement 9 November 2021
- Managing the Procurement 30 November 2021
- Top Ten Issues for 2021/2022 21 December 2021

If you have any questions in relation to our Government Procurement Webinar Series, or to register to attend a session, please email Katarina Szivek, BD Specialist on kszivek@hwle.com.au.

To view the recordings of sessions already delivered, please visit https://hwlebsworth.com.au/recordings-government-procurement-webinar-series-2021/



Agenda

- What is the process contract?
- What else is there?
- A journey of Discovery
- Statutory tender challenge rights
- War stories



Legal Risks

The Process Contract

One Contract (before Hughes Aircraft)



Tender (Offer) – Validity Period

Letter of Acceptance (Acceptance)





Two Contracts (after Hughes Aircraft)

- a. The Queen in Right of Ontario et al v Ron Engineering & Construction Eastern Ltd [1981] 1 S.C.R 111 (Canada)
- Hughes Aircraft Systems International and Airservices Australia (1997) 146 ALR 1
- c. IPEX ITG Pty Ltd (in liq) v State of Victoria [2010] VSC 480



What are its terms?

Implied

- Receive and assess an on time compliant tender
- Good Faith

Express (Conditions of Tender)

- Closing Date
- Late Tender
- Assessment Criteria
- Value for Money?
- Mandatory Criteria
- Confidentiality
- Collusion
- Carry out committed Offer if Accepted



How is it formed?

[A Process Contract will exist where]

...a timeline and detailed process, including evaluation criteria, are set out in such a way that suggests that an obligation (promissory in nature) to follow such timeline and process had been incurred.

IPEX decision



Procurement – Legal Risk – Non-Contract

- Equitable Estoppel
 - LMI Australasia Pty Ltd v Baulderstone Hornibrook Pty Ltd (unreported 10 April 2003)
- Misleading and Deceptive Conduct
 - Section 18, Schedule 2 Competition and Consumer Act 2010 (Cth)
 - JS McMillan Pty Ltd v Commonwealth (1997) 77 FCR 337
 - Hughes Aircraft
 - Section 42, Fair Trading Act 1987 (NSW) and other state equivalents
 - Fabcot Pty Ltd v Port Macquarie-Hastings Council [2010] NSWSC 726
 - Government Procurement (Judicial Review) Act 2018 (Cth)



- Damages for a breach of the Process Contract
 - Loss of Profit
 - Loss of Chance
- J&A Developments v Edina Manufacturer Ltd, Amoura Ltd Ors [2006] NIQB 208
- Compensation / Reliance
 - Statutory (s 236 of the Australian Consumer Law)
 - Other heads



Excluding the Process Contract/ Liability

- There is no intention to create legal relations by this RFP. The request may result in negotiations for the award of a contract, but of itself is not an offer that applicants / Proposers accept by submitting a proposal. To avoid doubt, no process contract will arise by the issue of this RFP.
- By responding to this RFP you acknowledge acceptance of the principles specified within this documents...
- Proposers must acknowledge in their proposals that they accept all the terms and conditions and information requirements contained in this document.



Excluding the Process Contract/ Liability

- Each Proponent agrees and acknowledges that notwithstanding anything contained in this Call (except in relation to the irrevocable offer described in Clause 3.1.17), no contractual relationship exists between the Principal, and Operator... and any Proponent... in relation to the evaluation... or otherwise in dealing with a Proponent in relation to the ITS. (at clause 3.1.1)
- Each Proposal submitted in response to this Call will comprise an irrevocable offer... The irrevocable offer shall be given in consideration for the Principal agreeing to consider the Proposal in accordance with this Call... (at clause 3.1.17)

Cubic decision



Excluding the Process Contract/ Liability

 Except as expressly and specifically permitted in these Instructions to Proponents, no Proponent shall have any claim for compensation of any kind whatsoever, as a result of participating in this RFP, and by submitting a Proposal each Proponent shall be deemed to have agreed that it has no claim.

Tercon Contractors Ltd. v British Columbia (Transportation and Highways), 2010 SCC 4, [2010] 1 SCR 69

The Ministry shall not be liable for any costs, expenses, loss or damage incurred, sustained or suffered by any bidder prior, or subsequent to, or by reason of the acceptance or the non-acceptance by the Ministry of any Tender, or by reason of any delay in acceptance of a Tender, except as provided in the tender documents.

Rankin Construction Inc v Ontaria 2014, CarswellOnt 12595



Excluding the Process Contract / Liability

- Can you exclude
 - Cubic
 - Tercon Contractors Ltd
 - Rankin
- Should you exclude
 - Ron Engineering & Construction Eastern Ltd [1981] 1 SCR 111 Canada
 - City Polytechnic of Hong Kong v Blue Cross (Asia Pacific) Insurance [1994] HK CFI 355
 - Woollahra Municipal Council v Secure Parking Pty Ltd [2015] NSWSC 257
 - Secure Parking Pty Ltd v Woollahra Municipal Council [2016] NSWCA



Procurement – Legal Risk – Non-Contract

- Equitable Estoppel
- LMI Australasia Pty Ltd v Baulderstone Hornibrook Pty Ltd (unreported 10 April 2003)
- Misleading and Deceptive Conduct
- Section 18, Schedule 2 Competition and Consumer Act 2010 (Cth)
 - JS McMillan Pty Ltd v Commonwealth (1997) 77 FCR 337
 - Hughes Aircraft
- Section 42, Fair Trading Act 1987 (NSW) and other State equivalents
 - Fabcot Pty Ltd v Port Macquarie-Hastings Council [2010] NSWSC 726
- Government Procurement (Judicial Review) Bill 2017 (Cth)
- Enforceable Procurement Provisions EPPs



The Process Contract – Preliminary Discovery

Griffin Energy Pty Ltd v Western Power Corporation [2006] FCA 1242

- Coal fired power station in Western Australia
- Mandatory requirements
- Environmental standards
- Power output
- Tender awarded to Wambo Griffin Energy doubted mandatory requirements complied with
- Made application for preliminary discovery



Telstra Corporation Limited v Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts (No.3) [2007] FCA 1567

- \$600m broadband grant
- 5 tenderers
- Included Optus and Telstra
- Optus and government negotiating for \$900m
- Telstra considered unfair
- Applied for preliminary discovery
- Tenderer clarification questions



Statutory tender challenge rights

- NSW Enforceable Procurement Provisions and amended Public Works and Procurement Act (NSW)
- Government Procurement (Judicial Review) Act (Cth)



Some War Stories

- Late Tender
- Chair of TEC Conflict of Interest
- E-Tender 'Preferred Didn't Upload'
- Didn't Empty Tender Box
- Result Too Risky
- Good Idea... Shame You Lost
- Brown Bags



Contact



Scott Alden, Partner
Phone: +61 2 9334 8418
Email: salden@hwle.com.au
LinkedIn:

https://www.linkedin.com/in/scott-alden-5884432b/



Victoria Gordon, Senior Associate Phone:+61 (02) 9334 2667 Email: vgordon@hwle.com.au



This seminar and accompanying documentation is not intended to be legal advice and should not be relied upon as such.

The copyright of this material is and will remain the property of HWL Ebsworth Lawyers.

HWL EBSWORTH

LAWYERS